

This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

**Preparation of a Polymeric Foam: An Activity Designed to Increase Teachers' Awareness of the Utility of Condensation Polymerisation**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Chemical Education</i>
Manuscript ID	ed-2017-00577b.R2
Manuscript Type:	Demonstration
Date Submitted by the Author:	09-Dec-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Cullen, John; University of Strathclyde, Pure and Applied Chemistry Scott, Fraser; University of Huddersfield, School of Applied Sciences
Keywords:	High School / Introductory Chemistry < Audience, Demonstrations < Domain, Polymer Chemistry < Domain, Graduate Education / Research < Audience, Hands-On Learning / Manipulatives < Pedagogy

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

---

# Preparation of a Polymeric Foam: An Activity Designed to Increase Teachers' Awareness of the Utility of Condensation Polymerisation

John Cullen<sup>1</sup> and Fraser J. Scott<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>WestCHEM Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde, 295 Cathedral Street, Glasgow G1 1XL, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, School of Applied Sciences, University of Huddersfield, Queensgate, Huddersfield, HD1 3DH, UK

## ABSTRACT

The new Scottish 'Curriculum for Excellence' places a greater emphasis on the societal implications of Chemistry concepts, including polymer chemistry. This has led to many Scottish secondary school teachers having little experience and background knowledge with which to satisfactorily explore the topic of polymers as they deliver the curriculum. Here we detail our development of a practical demonstration of polymer foams and its inclusion in a continuous professional development module for Scottish secondary school teachers. This demonstration has now been incorporated into chemistry classrooms across Scotland as a means of exemplifying the topic of polymers.

## GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



---

**KEYWORDS**

High School/Introductory Chemistry, Graduate Education/Research, Hands-On Learning/Manipulatives, Polymer Chemistry, Demonstrations

**INTRODUCTION**

Presently, in Scotland, High School educators are adapting their teaching practices to changes brought about by the introduction of the new Curriculum for Excellence (CfE). This curriculum is focused on developing learners that are prepared to enter society, and more importantly to be able to contribute effectively to it, by helping them to become successful learners, confident individuals, responsible citizens and effective contributors; these are called the four capacities.<sup>1</sup> These new foci necessitate a change in the specific curricular areas that are delivered in secondary science education and is neatly exemplified by both the National 5 and Higher Chemistry curricula having one of three units now entitled ‘Chemistry in Society’.<sup>2</sup> These units deal with various areas of applied chemistry and the main emphasis is on the applications of chemistry, and how it can affect society (both positively and negatively). This change in focus towards the application of science in society is also reflected in one of the core ideas within the Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS), “The Influence of Engineering, Technology, and Science on Society and the Natural World”.<sup>3</sup> Although the theory behind polymer chemistry was a key feature of the previous Scottish curricula, details about its application and importance to society were limited in importance. This has led to many Scottish secondary school teachers having little experience and background knowledge with which to satisfactorily explore the topic of polymers as they deliver the curriculum. This is also true in the US system, with the introduction of the NGSS.

The Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry at the University of Strathclyde has recently responded to significant requests for greater Continuous Professional Development (CPD) opportunities from secondary school teachers across Scotland by


1  
2  
3  
4  
5 developing a suite of CPD modules. These modules were designed to provide the  
6  
7 50 teachers with up to date information and practical exemplification of parts of the new  
8  
9 chemistry curricula that did not feature heavily, or at all, in the previous Scottish  
10  
11 curricula, including the topic of polymers. We are pleased to see that recently a number  
12  
13 of US-based counterparts are too developing polymer-based modules, aimed at  
14  
15 generating positive impact at the secondary school level.<sup>4,5</sup> This demonstration presents  
16  
17 55 an experiment that we developed to provide practical exemplification of the uses of  
18  
19 polymers in society, specifically that of polymeric foams. We incorporated this  
20  
21 experiment within our CPD module entitled, “Linking Theoretical and Practical Aspects  
22  
23 in the National 5 Curriculum”, with the aim that it would be subsequently incorporated  
24  
25 into the participating teachers’ teaching practices, and thus have wide spread reach  
26  
27 60 throughout Scotland.

### 28 29 **Polymeric foams**

30 Polymeric foams are materials that are prepared by gas molecules becoming trapped  
31  
32 in a solid polymer matrix. Polymeric foams are encountered routinely in daily life.  
33  
34 Typical applications of polymeric foams include packaging materials, upholstery and  
35  
36 65 insulation. Polymeric foams can be prepared from a number of chemistries and  
37  
38 methods, but most common types routinely used include polyurethanes and  
39  
40 polystyrene.<sup>6</sup> However, the preparation of polyurethane and polystyrene foams in a  
41  
42 classroom environment, although not impossible,<sup>7</sup> does offer some practical challenges  
43  
44 associated with exposure to toxic reactants, such as isocyanates.

45 70 There are other chemistries that are more appropriate for active experimentation by  
46  
47 science pupils. One such chemistry type is urea-formaldehyde (UF),<sup>6</sup> which is routinely  
48  
49 applied in the wood bonding industry for preparing wood panel boards.<sup>8</sup> UF polymeric  
50  
51 foams have also been used as insulation in residential dwellings.<sup>9</sup> Under specific  
52  
53 conditions and using specialist equipment it is possible to prepare UF polymeric foams.  
54  
55  
56  
57

75 UF foams are prepared using two main materials; urea and formaldehyde. The  
 76 resulting reaction products are varied with respect to their structure and functionality.  
 77 The urea-formaldehyde reaction occurs in two steps. The first step involves  
 78 methylation of urea under alkaline conditions. The degree of methylation can be  
 79 controlled to some extent in the ratio of urea to formaldehyde. The second step involves  
 80 a polycondensation between the methylols to afford linear and branched polymers  
 (scheme 1). In industrial applications the reaction between urea and formaldehyde is  
 facilitated by the use of specialist machinery.<sup>10</sup> To convert the liquid urea and  
 formaldehyde precursors into foams the machinery uses compressed air and a mixing  
 nozzle. As the urea and formaldehyde react to form a solid the air is trapped and foam  
 85 is produced.



**Scheme 1: The preparation of substituted methylol ureas and their subsequent polymerization.**

86 In the context of a practical demonstration in a classroom, the use of complicated  
 87 machinery to form urea-formaldehyde foam is unfeasible. The experiment described  
 88 here does not require any specialist equipment to prepare UF foam. Addition of a dilute  
 89 acid to a UF solution containing sodium bicarbonate generates carbon dioxide gas,  
 90 while also initiating the polycondensation of the methylolated urea. The experiment can

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 be altered at a number of stages to highlight important aspects of chemistry and  
6 polymer chemistry such as viscosity, acid reactant (type and concentration), and the  
7  
8 effect of surfactants/additives.  
9

## 10 11 **EXPERIMENT**

### 12 **Description of Experimental Apparatus**

13 Standard laboratory glassware and apparatus are required for running the  
14  
15 experiment: glass or plastic bottle as reaction vessel; magnetic stirrer bar and magnetic  
16  
17 hot plate; solution of phosphoric acid and urea-formaldehyde (Aerolite 306); and, a  
100 18 surfactant (figure 1). The various components can be pre-weighed and stored prior to  
19  
20 use by the teacher or technician.  
21  
22  
23



24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35 **Figure 1: Equipment and materials required for the preparation of UF foam.**

### 36 37 **Experimental – General Preparation of UF foam**

38 To a suitable flask was added water (10 mL) and a magnetic stirrer bar. The  
39  
40 flask was placed on a magnetic stirrer hotplate and to it was added Aerolite 306 (10 g)  
41  
42 in small portions and mixed until homogeneous. To the Aerolite 306 solution was added  
43  
44 sodium bicarbonate (0.5 g) and mixed until homogeneous. In a separate beaker was  
45  
46 added 16% phosphoric acid solution (10 mL). To the beaker containing the phosphoric  
110 47 acid was added the surfactant, Tween 80 (0.3 g). The surfactant should be mixed with a  
48  
49 glass rod to ensure good mixing with the phosphoric acid solution.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 To the Aerolite 306 solution was rapidly added the beaker of phosphoric acid  
6 solution and surfactant. The magnetic stirrer can be switched off after 15-30 seconds,  
7  
8  
9 115 upon formation of the polymer foam.

10  
11 Tips for a successful experiment – The various chemicals and solutions used can  
12 be pre-weighed prior to being provided to the participants. The pre-weighing is  
13 especially helpful when there are a large number of participants and the availability of  
14 balances is limited. The Aerolite 306 may agglomerate if added too quickly to the water,  
15  
16  
17  
18 120 if this is the case the clumps should be broken up with a spatula/glass rod to allow for  
19 a homogeneous solution of urea-formaldehyde to be prepared. Before the foam begins to  
20 react and harden the magnetic stirrer used to mix the reactants can be extracted  
21 quickly by use of a magnetic retriever. The foam reaction vessel should be placed on top  
22 of some paper towels, to facilitate a simple clean up, in cases where the foam reaction  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 125 escapes the reaction vessel (figure 2).



30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42 **Figure 2: A paper towel used to facilitate clean up.**

43  
44 The experimental procedure sheet can be found as supporting information.

#### 45 **Experimental – Variations on Foam Preparation**

46  
47 130 It is possible to alter the standard experimental to investigate ancillary areas  
48 associated with polymer chemistry.

49  
50  
51 *Viscosity* - the concept of viscosity can be explained by investigation of various  
52 concentrations of Aerolite 306. At concentrations greater than 50 % (w/v) the solution  
53 will become difficult to stir by use of a magnetic stirrer. It is also possible to decrease  
54  
55  
56

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 135 the concentration of Aerolite 306 to show that it is possible to decrease the viscosity of  
6  
7 the system, but at the expense of the volume and quality of foam prepared.

8  
9 *Acid reactant* - Phosphoric acid (16 %) is used in the standard experimental. It is  
10 possible to use alternative concentrations to show what effect this has on the rate of  
11 reaction and the quantity and quality of foam produced. It is also possible to investigate  
12  
13  
14 140 other acidic solutions, such as formic acid, and observe their effects on the urea-  
15 formaldehyde reaction.  
16

17  
18 *Surfactants and additives* – The omission of either the sodium bicarbonate or the  
19 surfactant will have significant effects on the outcome of the reaction. Failure to add the  
20 sodium bicarbonate will provide a solid material as opposed to foam. It is also possible  
21  
22  
23  
24 145 to vary the concentration of sodium bicarbonate used to obtain foam with varied  
25 density. If the sodium bicarbonate is added, but the surfactant is omitted, the cells of  
26 the foam will not be stabilized, whilst the reaction between urea and formaldehyde  
27 proceeds to completion. Different surfactants, other than Tween 80, could also be  
28 investigated.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

#### 34 150 **Hazards and hazard mitigation**

35 The UF used during the experiment is purchased in powder form. The powder UF is  
36 converted to a solution and used as such during the activity. UF may contain residual  
37 formaldehyde. To mitigate any potential risk of exposure to formaldehyde the UF should  
38 be pre-weighed in a fume cupboard and bottled prior to use by the activity participants.  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 155 The phosphoric acid is corrosive and an irritant. The dilute solution should be  
44 prepared by the activity coordinator and given to the activity participants pre-weighed  
45 so as to prevent exposure.  
46  
47

48  
49 Given the hazards associated with UF and phosphoric acid the participants are  
50 required to wear safety glasses and gloves during the activity.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57



1  
2  
3  
4  
5 160 The reaction generates carbon dioxide when the phosphoric acid is added to the  
6 urea-formaldehyde solution. The reaction vessel should not be capped as the gas is  
7 generated, as this capping may result in pressurization of the reaction vessel. The  
8 reaction vessel should be left to stand uncapped for a minimum of 24 hours before  
9 capping to ensure the risk of pressurization is minimized.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

## 15 165 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**


### 16 Experiment Implementation

17 The experiment can be completed in 10-15 minutes if using only one set of  
18 conditions. During the running of the CPD module, we had teachers working in pairs to  
19 simulate the experience that we intended to be given to students who would eventually  
20 carry out the experiment.  
21  
22  
23 170 carry out the experiment.  
24

25 The variations to the experimental (viscosity, acid reactant and the presence of  
26 additives) of the foam experiment allow for investigation into other areas of scientific  
27 interest beyond polymerisation.  
28  
29  
30

31 The viscosity and concentration of the UF solution has significant effects on the  
32 quality of foam produced. Students will find that concentrated solutions of UF will be  
33 175 difficult to disperse and will not be suitable to be converted to foam. If the students  
34 make the UF solution dilute they will recognize the low viscosity, but at the expense of  
35 the foam quantity and quality produced.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 Moving from phosphoric acid to formic acid solution (30-60 %) will result in a much  
42 reduced rate of foam reaction. The absence of key components such as the surfactant  
43 180 or sodium bicarbonate will affect the generation and stabilization of the foam. For  
44 example, the importance of sodium bicarbonate can be demonstrated by comparing the  
45 density of the foam prepared with sodium bicarbonate (top, figure 3) against material  
46 prepared without sodium bicarbonate by placing both in a beaker of water (bottom,  
47 figure 3).  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53 185 figure 3).  
54  
55  
56  
57



**Figure 3: Comparing the density of foam prepared with sodium bicarbonate (top) against material prepared without sodium bicarbonate (bottom) by placing in a beaker of water.**

### Developing Polymer Knowledge in Secondary Teachers and Students

190 This experiment was carried out by 30 secondary school teachers during a CPD module  
23 run in 2016, and by a further 16 teachers in a repeat presentation of the module in  
24 2017. We asked the teachers to assess the usefulness of this practical through  
25 completion of a short questionnaire comprised of a 5-point Likert-like response item (of  
26 no use; of very little use; of some use; useful; very useful) and the opportunity to  
27 provide free text response. 93% (43 out of 46) of the teachers responded that they found  
28 the experiment either useful or very useful, with 87% (40 out of 46) responding that  
29 they found the experiment to be very useful. Further encouraging comments were seen  
30 in the free text responses where the most frequent comments related to the teachers'  
31 desires to carry out the experiment in the classes e.g. "I'm excited to try this out with  
195 my class when we get to the polymers topic". Although this experiment was delivered to  
32 teachers during one of our CPD modules, we did this in the hope that they would  
33 incorporate it into their delivery of the curriculum in their classrooms. Our ultimate  
34 goal was to enrich the experience school students have of the polymer curricular area.  
35 Thus, rather than measuring the success of the experiment through gauging teachers  
36 perceived usefulness of the experiment, we believe that a better measure of success can  
200 be determined through measuring the number of students that have experienced this

activity as a result of our training of their classroom teachers. To this end, we surveyed all participants of the CPD modules 3 months after their delivery and, pleasingly, our feedback has indicated that at least 1000 secondary school students across Scotland have now carried out this experiment.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### Supporting Information

The experimental procedure sheet used during the CPD module, and that to be used in classes with students. A risk assessment sheet for the experiment.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: fraser.j.scott@strath.ac.uk

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Debbie Willison, Lyndsay McCulloch and Margaret Wilson for their support during the running of the experiment during the CPD module.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Education Scotland, Building the Curriculum 1: The Contribution of Curricular Areas, [https://education.gov.scot/scottish-education-system/policy-for-scottish-education/policy-drivers/cfe-\(building-from-the-statement-appendix-incl-btc1-5\)/Building%20the%20Curriculum](https://education.gov.scot/scottish-education-system/policy-for-scottish-education/policy-drivers/cfe-(building-from-the-statement-appendix-incl-btc1-5)/Building%20the%20Curriculum), accessed Dec 2017.
- 2 Education Scotland, Experiences and Outcomes, [https://education.gov.scot/scottish-education-system/policy-for-scottish-education/policy-drivers/cfe-\(building-from-the-statement-appendix-incl-btc1-5\)/Experiences%20and%20outcomes](https://education.gov.scot/scottish-education-system/policy-for-scottish-education/policy-drivers/cfe-(building-from-the-statement-appendix-incl-btc1-5)/Experiences%20and%20outcomes), accessed Dec 2017.
- 3 NGSS Lead States. Next Generation Science Standards: For States, By States; The National Academies Press: Washington, DC, 2013.
- 4 Cersonsky, R. K., Foster, L. L., Ahn, T., Hall, R. J., van der Laan, H.L., and Scott, T. F., Augmenting Primary and Secondary Education with Polymer Science and Engineering, *J. Chem. Educ.* 2017, 94 (11), 1639–1646; DOI: 10.1021/acs.jchemed.6b00805.
- 5 Ting, J. M., Ricarte, R. G., Schneiderman, D. K., Saba, S. A., Jiang, Y, Hillmyer, M. A., Bates, F. S., Reineke, T. M., Macosko, C. W., and Lodge, T. P., Polymer Day: Outreach Experiments for High School Students, *J. Chem. Educ.* 2017, 94 (11), 1629–1638; DOI: 10.1021/acs.jchemed.6b00767.
- 6 Suh, K. W. Foamed Plastics. In Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, 2000; DOI: 10.1002/0471238961.06150113192108.a01.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 
- 7 Pinto, M. L., Formulation, Preparation, and Characterization of Polyurethane Foams, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 2010, **87**, 212–215.
- 245 8 Dunky, M., Urea–formaldehyde (UF) adhesive resins for wood, *Int. J. Adhes. Adhes.*, 1998, **18**, 95–107.
- 9 Schutz, C. A., Urea Formaldehyde Foam for Insulation, *J. Cell. Plast.*, 1968, 37–40.
- 250 10 Heath, R. Aldehyde Polymers: Phenolics and Aminoplastics. In *Brydson’s Plastics Materials*; Butterworth-Heinemann: Oxford, UK, 2017; pp 705–742.