

Abstract

This study aimed to systematically review evidence to assess the efficacy of non-pharmacological brief interventions in the emergency department to reduce the incidence, severity and impact of acute behavioural disturbances. The literature search was conducted in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement. A total of 18 articles were identified as meeting our inclusion criteria and read in full. Following a full read and a consensus discussion, it was subsequently considered the studies chosen had not met the inclusion criteria. Research into the use of non-pharmacological brief interventions in the management of acute behavioural disturbance in the emergency department is warranted given the current absence of evidence found by this systematic review.

Key words Aggression; Brief interventions; Challenging behaviour; De-escalation; Emergency department; Emergency room

Key points

- The benefits and efficacy of aggression management education for emergency department (ED) staff remains inconclusive
- The use of de-escalation strategies as a non-pharmacological brief intervention for behavioural disturbances needs to become more common among ED staff
- More research is needed on the use of non-pharmacological brief interventions in the ED for behavioural disturbances
- Greater use of interprofessional education on de-escalation skills for behavioural disturbances for ED staff is needed.

Introduction

The potential for workplace aggression and violence towards health care workers is not a new phenomenon; it has been reported as being quite common and prevalent (Warren, 2011), especially in mental health care and emergency departments (EDs) (Magnavita and Heponiemi, 2012, Edward et al, 2014; 2016).

The triggers for patient-perpetrated challenging behaviour (e.g. agitation, combatative, shouting, threatening gestures, aggression, violence) may include any of the following: an underlying medical condition; poor communication; misuse of substances such as alcohol or drugs; mental disorders; grief reactions; and long wait times (Edward et al, 2016).

Non-pharmacological brief interventions (BIs) in the ED to reduce the severity, duration or impact of acute behavioural disturbances may offer a means of addressing the widespread problem of aggression or violence in the ED. However, interventions have been found to be difficult to implement in EDs (Zerhouni et al, 2013, Drummond et al, 2014; Sorsdahl et al, 2014). Emergency department based BIs for problems related to alcohol and substance misuse have been tried but have had mixed results. (Landy et al, 2016). Other BIs provided in the ED have been directed at reducing intimate partner violence (Rhodes et al, 2014; 2015) and suicidal risk (Stanley and Brown, 2012); however these models do not appear to have been evaluated rigorously. While the above are examples of BIs used by ED staff, they are not focused on reducing or de-escalating acute behavioural emergencies such as agitation and aggression.

ED staff have frequent encounters with patients and family members who display agitated as well as verbally and physically aggressive behaviour and often are a danger to themselves or others; however, there is a lack of training available for staff to manage acute behavioural disturbances using de-escalation techniques (Kansagra et al, 2008; Baker, 2012).

Many studies have reported the prevalence of workplace violence in healthcare settings, in particular in the ED, where staff have reported feeling unsafe and at risk of physical assault (Kowalenko et al, 2012). Literature reviews by Anderson et al (2010) and Kowalenko et al (2012) related to interventions used in the ED to manage acute behavioural disturbances found a paucity of evidence-based interventions.

Non-pharmacological BIs may offer a safe, effective means to de-escalate behavioural disturbances in the ED. Because of the high prevalence of aggression in the ED, there is an urgent need for a rigorous examination of non-pharmacological BIs directed at reducing the incidence, severity, and impact of patient aggression or violence there.

Aims and Objectives

The aims of this systematic review were twofold;

- To assess the efficacy of non-pharmacological BIs delivered in the ED that are intended to reduce the incidence, severity, and impact of acute behavioural disturbances among adult patients
- To present a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis if possible to inform clinical recommendations.

The objectives of the review were

- To assess selected studies for methodological, clinical and statistical heterogeneity and assess study quality and risk of bias
- To quantify the magnitude and direction of any benefit of non-pharmacological BI with respect to reducing the incidence, severity, and impact of patient acute behavioural disturbance in the ED
- To assess the significance of any such benefit, and to quantify the associated uncertainty
- To assess the extent of possible publication bias, subject to a sufficient number of studies being identified

- To contrast the efficacy of different types of BIs in comparable settings, subject to a sufficient number of studies being identified
- To develop clinically relevant recommendations for the non-pharmacological BI directed at reducing the incidence, severity and impact of patient acute behavioural disturbance in the ED.

Definitions

The following terms were defined *a priori* and are referred to for the purposes of this review.

‘Acute behavioural disturbance’ was defined as actual or threatened aggression (verbal abuse, or physical abuse/assault) perpetrated in the workplace against the nurse or other health professional staff by patients, relatives or other adult.

‘Non-pharmacological brief interventions’ were defined as those that can reasonably be conducted during the clinical ED encounter and required less than 15-30 minutes of a clinician’s time.

‘De-escalation’ was defined as ‘talking with an angry or agitated consumer/service user in such a way that violence is averted and the person regains a sense of calm and self-control’ (National Institute for Care Excellence, 2015).

Methods

Search strategy

The systematic literature search was conducted in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement (Moher et al, 2009).

The electronic bibliographic databases of CINAHL, Medline and PsycINFO were searched for papers published up to and including May 2017. A search for peer-reviewed studies using the keywords

'acute behavioural disturbance', 'emergency department' and 'brief intervention' were used (*Box 1*). Limiters such as source type, English language and age range (adults) were applied.

[insert Box 1 here]

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies were considered eligible for this systematic review if they met all inclusion criteria below:

- Used a non-pharmacological brief intervention provided by clinicians working in the ED directed at adults (aged 18-65 years) who showed either 'early warning signs' or actual acute behavioural disturbance, regardless of the cause for the disturbance
- Reported on a non-pharmacological BI used by health care professionals in the ED
- The non-pharmacological BI was aimed at reducing the severity, duration or impact of acute behavioural disturbance in the ED that was perpetrated by an adult patient
- The non-pharmacological BI was conducted by clinical or research staff face to face or delivered by technological devices
- The non-pharmacological BI was brief, lasting between 15-30 minutes
- The non-pharmacological BI was verbal or behavioural techniques in any combination (excluding pharmacological techniques alone)
- The non-pharmacological BI was compared against routine practice
- The study reported experimental or quasi-experimental data.

We excluded papers not written in English, literature reviews, systematic reviews, protocols, case-series, case reports, and qualitative studies.

Quality Appraisal

The quality assessment of the research was critiqued with reference to the Critical Appraisal Skills Program (CASP) methodological checklists for both quantitative and qualitative research (Taylor et al, 2000).

Study selection

The returned abstracts were screened for relevance by title and abstract independently by two of the authors (KE and JG). Following this first level of screening, the remaining articles were extracted for full review and assessed for eligibility against an extraction tool designed for this review. The extractions were completed independently by three authors (TW, SR and JH) followed by a consensus round where any disagreements were resolved by further consensus with authors KE and JG. *Figure 1* shows details of the search strategy and outcome.

[Insert Figure 1 here]

Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data extraction was completed independently by the authors, using an extraction tool designed for the project. Extracted data were collated by all, with any disagreements being discussed by the review team. Data was included only if there was consensus.

Results

A search of the databases up to 2017 returned a total of 1252 articles. Once duplicates were removed, 925 articles remained for screening. A total of 18 articles were identified as meeting the inclusion criteria and were read in full.

Following a full read and a consensus discussion, it was considered the studies chosen had not met the inclusion criteria (*Figure 1*). *Table 1* sets out each of the excluded studies and a reason for their exclusion.

[insert Table 1 here]

Discussion

The lack of any quantitative research on non-pharmacological BI directed at reducing the incidence, severity and impact of patient acute behavioural disturbance in the ED reveals an obvious gap in the evidence base.

Research related to the use of non-pharmacological BIs for substance misuse and intimate partner violence do indicate the feasibility of non-pharmacological BIs in the ED.

Barriers remain to the implementation of non-pharmacological BIs in the ED, such as staffing (that is, the need to have a staff member dedicated to this role with the requisite skills) and environmental factors (such as the ED being a high stimulus area) (Sorsdahl et al, 2014).

Other factors that may affect the implementation of non-pharmacological BIs in the ED may relate to definitional problems i.e. what is understood as a BI. For example, interventions that continue beyond the ED admission (such as those mentioned for substance misuse and intimate partner violence) are often impractical for conditions that may need to be resolved quickly. Protracted interventions include those used to address alcohol misuse by people who present to the ED, delivered in the form of text messaging over 3-6 months (Suffoletto et al, 2012); this model may not easily translate to management of acute behavioural disturbances in the ED.

Nonetheless, communication improvements for staff and environmental modifications (such as quiet spaces) may offer a non-pharmacological BI that may deter aggressive or violent behaviour.

Evidence suggests poor communication skills and/or a lack of communication due to environmental factors contribute to aggression and/or violence in the ED setting (England et al, 2014).

Environmental communication remedies include the use of electronic boards indicating anticipated waiting times or engaging a communication officer in the ED to act as a conduit for information between patients and staff. In addition, poor staff communication styles can become a trigger for misunderstandings, annoyance and, potentially, aggression (Edward et al, 2016). Because of the

diversity of patient needs, strategies to manage aggression and/or violence in the ED should have multiple components.

Existing knowledge

Education for staff has been offered as a solution (Tan et al, 2015) yet the benefits and efficacy of aggression management for staff in EDs remains inconclusive (Gerdtz et al, 2013). In addition, the benefits of facilitating mindfulness-based treatments for aggressive behaviours remain unclear (Fix and Fix, 2013).

When caring for a patient who is agitated, there are important considerations such as ensuring safety (for self, others and the patient), using the least restrictive method of engagement to reduce agitation levels, and avoiding escalating the behaviour and helping the patient manage their own emotions to regain control (Richmond et al, 2012). Richmond et al (2012) suggest designing safe environments, using an appropriate staff skill mix for the ED setting, ensuring staff have adequate assessment skills for detecting the early warning signs of agitation in patients and providing suitable staff education/professional development on the prevention, assessment and management of patient agitation.

Using a de-escalation approach as a non-pharmacological BI, which has been used in mental health settings for many years, is also a feasible option for the ED.

Limitations of the review

The limitations of this review include that no research on the topic of non-pharmacological BI in the ED to manage acute behavioural occurrences or aggression was found.

Potentially, excluding articles not written in English could have limited our chances of locating such articles.

Conclusions

Research into the use of non-pharmacological BIs for the management of acute behavioural disturbance in the ED is warranted given the lack of evidence located by this systematic review.

Known non-pharmacological BIs such as de-escalation, the use of environmental factors (i.e. reducing external stimulation, using communication boards and providing quiet spaces) and identifying early warning signs of agitation using reliable and valid assessment tools (such as the Behavioural Activity Rating Scale) may be feasible options for the ED environment.

Implications for clinical practice

Mental health clinicians are well positioned to professionally collaborate with ED staff with regards to non-pharmacological BIs in the ED to manage challenging behaviours in the least restrictive manner. Since mental healthcare professionals are well versed in non-pharmacological de-escalation techniques, it is feasible that an interprofessional approach to developing these techniques in non-mental health clinicians is adopted.

While sharing expertise amongst specialist teams in healthcare is advocated and encouraged, the reality is that because of time and resource constraints, interprofessional education does not routinely happen in practice between mental health and general health staff.

References

Alimohammadi H, Zolfaghari Sadrabad A, Bidarizerehpooosh F et al. Residents' experiences in dealing with abuse in emergency department: a survey in Iran hospitals. *Emerg Med J*. 2013; 30(9):732–734.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2012-201715>

Anderson L, FitzGerald M, Luck L. An integrative literature review of interventions to reduce violence against emergency department nurses. *J Clin Nurs*. 2010; 19(17–18):2520–2530.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2009.03144.x>

Angland S, Dowling M, Casey D. Nurses' perceptions of the factors which cause violence and aggression in the emergency department: a qualitative study. *Int Emerg Nurs*. 2014; 22(3):134–139.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ienj.2013.09.005>.

Baker SN. Management of acute agitation in the emergency department. *Adv Emerg Nurs J*.

2012;34(4):306–318. <https://doi.org/10.1097/TME.0b013e31826f12d6>.

Cowling SA, McKeon MA, Weiland TJ. Managing acute behavioural disturbance in an emergency department using a behavioural assessment room. *Aust Health Rev*. 2007; 31(2):296–304

Currier GW, Walsh P, Lawrence D. Physical restraints in the emergency department and attendance at subsequent outpatient psychiatric treatment. *J Psychiatr Pract*. 2011 Nov;17(6):387–393.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/01.pra.0000407961.42228.75>

Deans C. Who cares for nurses? The lived experience of workplace aggression. *Collegian*. 2004;

11(2):32–36. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1322-7696\(08\)60453-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1322-7696(08)60453-9)

Downes MA, Healy P, Page CB, Bryant JL, Isbister GK. Structured team approach to the agitated patient in the emergency department. *Emerg Med Australas*. 2009; 21(3):196–202.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-6723.2009.01182.x>

Drummond C, Deluca P, Coulton S et al. The effectiveness of alcohol screening and brief intervention in emergency departments: a multicentre pragmatic cluster randomized controlled trial. PLoS One. 2014; 9(6):e99463. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.009946>

Edward KL, Ousey K, Warelow P, Lui S. Nursing and aggression in the workplace: a systematic review. Br J Nurs. 2014; 23(12):653–659. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2014.23.12.653>.

Edward KL, Stephenson J, Ousey K, Lui S, Warelow P, Giandinoto JA. A systematic review and meta-analysis of factors that relate to aggression perpetrated against nurses by patients/relatives or staff. J Clin Nurs. 2016; 25(3–4):289–299. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.13019>

Fix RL, Fix ST. The effects of mindfulness-based treatments for aggression: a critical review. Aggress Violent Behav 2013; 18(2):219–227

Flannery RB Jr, Everly GS Jr, Eyer V. The assaulted staff action program (ASAP) and declines in assaults: a meta-analysis. Int J Emerg Ment Health. 2000; 2(3):143–148

Flannery RB Jr, Rachlin S, Walker A. Characteristics of repetitively assaultive patients: ten year analysis of the Assaulted Staff Action Program (ASAP). Int J Emerg Ment Health. 2002; 4(3):173–179

Gaynor NJ.. Clinical management of acute behavioural disturbance associated with volatile solvent intoxication. Australas Emerg Nurs J. 2009; 12(2):55– 58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aenj.2009.02.002>

Brock G, Gurekas V, Gelinis AF, Rollin K. Use of a 'secure room' and a security guard in the management of the violent, aggressive or suicidal patient in a rural hospital: a 3–year audit. Can J Rural Med. 2009 Winter;14(1):16–20

Gerdtz MF, Daniel C, Dearie V, Prematunga R, Bamert M, Duxbury J. The outcome of a rapid training program on nurses' attitudes regarding the prevention of aggression in emergency departments: a multi-site evaluation. Int J Nurs Stud. 2013; 50(11):1434–1445.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2013.01.007>

Huf G, Coutinho ES, Adams CE; TREC-SAVE Collaborative Group. Physical restraints versus seclusion room for management of people with acute aggression or agitation due to psychotic illness (TREC-SAVE): a randomized trial. *Psychol Med*. 2012; 42(11):2265–2273.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291712000372>

Kansagra SM, Rao SR, Sullivan AF et al. A survey of workplace violence across 65 U.S. emergency departments. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2008; 15(12):1268–1274.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1553-2712.2008.00282.x>

Kowalenko T, Cunningham R, Sachs CJ et al. Workplace violence in emergency medicine: current knowledge and future directions. *J Emerg Med*. 2012; 43(3):523–531.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2012.02.056>

Landy MS, Davey CJ, Quintero D, Pecora A, McShane KE. A systematic review on the effectiveness of brief interventions for alcohol misuse among adults in emergency departments. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2016; 61:1–12.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2015.08.004>

La Vonne AD, Zun LS, Gonzales SJ. Frequency of alternative to restraints and seclusion and uses of agitation reduction techniques in the emergency department. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2007;29(6):470–474. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18022038> *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2007 Nov-Dec;29(6):470-4.

Downey LV1, Zun LS, Gonzales SJ. Frequency of alternative to restraints and seclusion and uses of agitation reduction techniques in the emergency department. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2007; 29(6):470–474

Luck L, Jackson D, Usher K. STAMP: components of observable behaviour that indicate potential for patient violence in emergency departments. *J Adv Nurs*. 2007 Jul;59(1):11–19

Macias D, Weiss S, Ernst A, Ann Maggiore W, Nick T, Sklar D. Comparison of emergency physicians' and juris doctors' opinions on emergency department patient restraints usage. *South Med J*. 2010; 103(7):623–629. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SMJ.0b013e3181e20310>

Magnavita N, Heponiemi T. Violence towards health care workers in a Public Health Care Facility in Italy: a repeated cross-sectional study. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2012; 12:108. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-12-108>

Mannon JM. Defining and treating “problem patients” in a hospital emergency room. *Med Care*. 1976; 14(12):1004–1013

Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Ann Intern Med*. 2009;151(4):264–269, W64

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Violence and aggression: short-term management in mental-health, health and community settings. Leicester and London: NICE; 2015. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng10> (accessed 4 March 2018)

Price O, Baker J. Key components of de-escalation techniques: a thematic synthesis. *Int J Ment Health Nurs*. 2012; 21(4):310–319. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1447-0349.2011.00793.x>

Rhodes KV, Rodgers M1, Sommers M et al. Brief motivational intervention for intimate partner violence and heavy drinking in the emergency department: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2015; 314(5):466–477. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2015.8369>

Rhodes KV, Rodgers M, Sommers M, Hanlon A, Crits-Christoph P. The Social Health Intervention Project (SHIP): protocol for a randomized controlled clinical trial assessing the effectiveness of a brief motivational intervention for problem drinking and intimate partner violence in an urban emergency department. *BMC Emerg Med*. 2014; 14:10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-227X-14-10>

Richmond JS, Berlin JS, Fishkind AB et al. Verbal de-escalation of the agitated patient: consensus statement of the american association for emergency psychiatry project BETA de-escalation workgroup. West J Emerg Med. 2012 Feb;13(1):17–25.

<https://doi.org/10.5811/westjem.2011.9.6864>

Sorsdahl K, Myers B, Ward C et al. Screening and brief interventions for substance use in emergency departments in the Western Cape province of South Africa: views of health care professionals. Int J Inj Contr Saf Promot. 2014; 21(3):236–243. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17457300.2013.811267>

Stanley B, Brown GK. 2012. Safety planning intervention: a brief intervention to mitigate suicide risk. Cogn Behav Pract. 19(2):256–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpra.2011.01.001>

Strout TD. Psychometric testing of the Agitation Severity Scale for acute presentation behavioral management patients in the emergency department. Adv Emerg Nurs J. 2014;36(3):250–270. <https://doi.org/10.1097/TME.0000000000000014>.

Suffoletto B, Callaway C, Kristan J, Kraemer K, Clark DB. Text-message-based drinking assessments and brief interventions for young adults discharged from the emergency department. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2012 Mar;36(3):552–560. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2011.01646.x>

Tan MF, Lopez V, Cleary M. Nursing management of aggression in a Singapore emergency department: a qualitative study. Nurs Health Sci. 2015 Sep;17(3):307–312. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nhs.12188>

Taylor R, Reeves B, Ewings P, Binns S, Keast J, Mears R. A systematic review of the effectiveness of critical appraisal skills training for clinicians. Med Educ. 2000; 34(2):120–125

Warren B. 2011. Workplace violence in hospitals: safe havens no more. J Healthc Prot Manage. 2011; 27(2):9–17

Zerhouni O, Bègue L, Brousse G et al. Alcohol and violence in the emergency room: a review and perspectives from psychological and social sciences. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2013; 10(10):4584–4606. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph10104584>

Zun LS. A prospective study of the complication rate of use of patient restraint in the emergency department. *J Emerg Med*. 2003; 24(2):119–124