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**Abstract:**

The railway industry has focused on the improvement of maintenance through the use of novel technologies. Recently, the utilisation of repair welding to restore the worn area on wheels has been investigated, as it can bring significant savings in wheelset maintenance. Under an Innovate UK AURORA project, a worn wheel that previously operated on London Underground (LUL) was restored using this process. To test its performance and compare with a new standard steel grade wheel, the HAROLD full scale test rig was used in which an LUL vehicle bogie equipped with both the restored and R9 grade wheels was operated under the representative lateral and yaw displacements computed from vehicle dynamics simulations. The wear measurements carried out at the end of test cycles showed that although the restored wheel suffered from initial higher wear, the levels reduced and became similar to the R9 grade wheel. Furthermore, the full-scale testing provided an opportunity to validate the wear model predictions which were conducted using the vehicle dynamics simulations utilised in testing inputs. It was found that while the flange wear predictions were higher, the tread wear estimations were smaller than the measurements on the R9 grade wheel.
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1. Introduction

The management of wheel damage is crucial in railways, as their maintenance and renewals account for a large proportion of the whole-life costs in rolling stock. However, the issues with wheelset maintenance scheduling, depot constraints and limited fleet availability make it challenging which in turn leads train operators to strive for new technologies and solutions.

The use of repair welding on wheels has been recently investigated in order to restore the area which is removed by wear during normal operation or to replace the material damaged during the rolling contact, such as fatigue cracking, shelling and cavities. It is suggested that this technique can bring significant savings to railways, as it can help to extend the life of wheels and hence, the annual costs of renewals can be reduced. One of the major wheel providers; Lucchini Unipart Rail (LUR) stated that they produce approximately 34,000 new wheels for the UK rail sector at a cost of over £20 million each year.

The Innovate UK AURORA (AUtomated Remanufacturing Of RAil components) project gathered the industry and academics together to develop and demonstrate a flexible remanufacturing cell for rail components which included high performance metal cladding and advances in machining and in-process inspection. Under the scope of this project, the Lucchini Unipart Rail (LUR) investigated the application of cladding in repairing the worn wheels and The Welding Institute (TWI) implemented submerged arc welding. This process was selected due to quality of clad material and it can quickly deposit the material at the required pre-heat and interpass temperature of 400°C. The manufacturing cell was reconfigurable hence, it could accommodate wheelsets of different diameters.

In this project, the repair welding technique was applied to an LT5 profile wheel which was previously operated in London Underground (LUL). Since the increased timetables and further reductions in maintenance and renewal expenditures provides new challenges to their rail-wheel interface management, they have made several attempts to maximise their asset life such as introducing novel technologies to monitor defects and conducting vehicle dynamics simulation modelling to predict the
damage. In respect to wheel management, an extensive measurement campaign across each individual fleet was conducted to establish a preventative turning interval within each Train Maintenance Regime (TMR). However, while this can help to accurately plan train maintenance scheduling, more work is still required to ensure sufficient trains are available in service to cope with increased timetables at lesser life cycle costs. Therefore, the restoration of wheels by advanced welding methods may allow to increase life of wheels and in turn help to reduce costs.

In order to assess the wheel material performance particularly, against wear and rolling contact fatigue (RCF) cracking, it is important to carry out testing under representative and realistic loading conditions. The HAROLD (Huddersfield Adhesion and Rolling Contact Dynamics) test rig located at the University of Huddersfield’s Institute of Railway Research (IRR) is able to generate a range of contact conditions under a full-scale vehicle bogie. In this project, a wheel previously operated on LUL was restored and fitted to an axle alongside a new wheel manufactured from R9 steel grade. This wheelset was installed in the ex-LUL’s D-Stock bogie and mounted on the HAROLD test rig.

The material characteristics and dimensions of the restored wheel aimed to resemble that of a wheel manufactured from R9 steel grade. Comparable mechanical and chemical properties were provided and nominal wheel dimensions, with similar flange thickness and height levels on both the restored (right) and R9 (left) wheels.

In this paper, the performances of both of the restored wheel and R9 grade wheels under full-scale testing is presented. Firstly, the full-scale HAROLD test rig is introduced including the bogie configurations and rig characteristics. Secondly, the input parameters used in the testing are presented which were calculated from vehicle dynamics route simulations of the Bakerloo line on the LUL network. After verifying the test set-up and wheelset trajectory, accelerated wear testing was carried out consisting of multiple repeated test cycles of the entire route. Following each test cycle, MiniProf® wheel profile measurements were conducted to examine the wear patterns and the running
surfaces were inspected which included scanning the wheels using the MRX Surface Crack Measurement (MRX-SCM) system.

In addition to use of restored wheels to increase life of wheels, accurate prediction of wear rates is also beneficial to plan preventative turning intervals and to verify train maintenance scheduling. Since the full-scale testing in this project generated useful wear data under representative contact conditions as those seen in the reality and the vehicle dynamics simulations allowed to calculate wheel-rail contact forces and to implement the different wear prediction models, the predicted worn areas from these various models were compared with the measured worn areas on the R9 grade wheel in the last section of the paper.

2. Wheel-Rail Contact Test Rig

The HAROLD test rig consists of a 2 m diameter drum with two circumferential rails, a bogie moving platform and a loading frame. Figure 1 shows the test rig with its associated components from different views. As it can be seen, the D-Stock bogie was placed on the movable platform and the rotating rails are located below to rotate the leading wheelset of the bogie.

During the full-scale testing, servo-actuators rotate the movable platform in lateral and yaw axes to stimulate the wheel-rail lateral displacement and yaw angle (angle of attack) seen during vehicle curving. With respect to this, the wheels can experience the range of contact conditions observed in reality. Additionally, the two vertical hydraulic servo-actuators located on the loading frame enable the application of the static and dynamic vertical loading. Each actuator integrates load and displacement measurements that can be used for automatic control of the test parameters.
In addition to the vehicle curving behaviour, the maximum wheelset (rolling rails) speed is 200 km/h and a braking torque of 110 kNm can be applied to generate full-slip contact conditions at the wheel-rail interface. Therefore, it is possible to investigate bogie dynamics in relation to the suspension characteristics under full-scale loads and realistic speeds. Examples include establishing the true effective primary yaw stiffness of a bogie which is significant for wear and RCF damage and also secondary suspension behaviour such as bogie rotational resistance. The vertical performance of the suspension system can also be characterised through application of dynamic loads via the bogie centre-pivot. Furthermore, the test rig is fitted with an in-built lathe head, allowing any cross-sectional profile to be applied to the two rail sections on the rotating drum.

2.1. Bogie Installation

A LUL D-Stock test bogie was used and the test wheelset equipped with restored wheel on the right and a R9 steel grade wheel on the left, shown in Figure 2.
The test rig’s vertical hydraulic rams were linked together by a loading beam to ensure the correct interface with the D-Stock bogie’s traction centre and diablo springs. The leading wheelset was fastened to adaptor plates on each axlebox and these were connected to brackets bolted onto the movable platform. The brackets ensured that the platform can move the wheelset in lateral and longitudinal axes, helping to recreate the wheel-rail shifts and yaw angle experienced during normal operations.

Additionally, the connections between the axlebox adaptor plates and the restraint brackets contained three load cells with two located in the longitudinal direction and one in the lateral direction, allowing the longitudinal and lateral forces to be monitored during the test.

3. **Full-Scale Testing Methodology**

3.1. **Simulation of Wheel Trajectory**

In order to assess the performance of wheels and to provide a realistic indication for the rate of wear and any emerging damage, the typical duty conditions which the wheelsets experience in service were determined and used as an input to the test rig programme. The Bakerloo line was selected since, it
contains high number of tight curves. This helps to generate a representative range of contacts across the wheel, including both tread and flange contacts.

Vehicle dynamics route simulations of the Bakerloo line were conducted in the Vampire software. Nevertheless, a number of changes were made to replicate the testing conditions in the simulations, including the removal of check rails from curves less than 200 m radius and an application of a constant track gauge of 1440 mm, e.g. distance between rail rollers on the test rig. The check rails on the Bakerloo line restrict the level of wheel flange contact on the high rail and greater forces are generated on both high tread and low rail contacts. Therefore, with the removal of check rails, higher flange forces occurred under these sharp curve radii (R<200). Most importantly, as the timeframe for the testing was limited and it was not possible to run typical mileages seen by a wheelset in-service, accelerated wear testing was carried out using a higher constant running speed of 64.5 km/h in which the maximum operational speed was 50 km/h in reality.

The wheelset lateral and yaw displacements computed from the simulations were used as an input to provide the wheelset trajectory generating the representative contact conditions seen during the service operations. As can be seen in Figure 3, both lateral and yaw displacements are relatively high due to curvaceous track geometry on the Bakerloo line. In order to check whether these given inputs were within the limits of the test rig, the lateral and yaw velocities were subsequently calculated and found that the maximum yaw velocity of 28 mrad/s (=1.65 deg/s) is smaller than HAROLD’s 2 deg/s (=35 mrad/s) limit.
During the test programme, the presented wheelset trajectory was ran twice including the opposing displacement and yaw values in each test cycle. This helped to create a symmetric profile in order to generate similar distribution of contact conditions on the left and right wheels. The crush vehicle loading was considered and a total axle load of 115.2 kN was implemented.

3.2. Test Set-up Verification

The pre-test operating sequence was carried out using the maximum lateral and yaw displacements to check the occurrence of flange contacts on both wheels. Figure 4 displays the narrow contact band
produced prior to full testing. While two-point contact can be seen on both flange and tread regions of the restored wheel, the latter was not observed on the R9 grade wheel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Restored Wheel</th>
<th>R9 Grade Wheel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Two-point contact band" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="One-point flange contact band" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4: Comparison of initial running surfaces between restored and R9 grade wheel**

### 3.3. Results

Accelerated wear tests were carried out consisting of 16 repeated cycles. Each cycle contained a test rig control sequence where the rail speed and vertical loads were maintained constantly and a series of pre-computed lateral and yaw displacements were applied to the movable platform in order to enable the wheelset movements in the simulated Bakerloo line route. During the tests, these inputs in test programme were monitored continuously. The wheelset effectively followed the actual wheelset trajectory and its reverse in order to provide similar trajectory for both left and right wheels, as aforementioned in Section 3.1. In total, one cycle lasted for 42 minutes.

To quantify the performance of both restored and R9 grade wheels, the wheel profile measurements using MiniProf® were conducted following the completion of each test cycle and any changes in running surfaces were recorded. Following the completion of the testing, both wheels were scanned using the MRX-Surface Crack Measurement (SCM)® system to determine the depth of any damage in the wheel rim.
3.3.1. Wheel Wear

Following each test cycle, wheel profile measurements were carried out at three different positions (A, B and C) equally spaced around the circumference (120°/360°) of both wheels. These measurements were compared with the baseline measurements (prior to testing) to identify the key wear parameters (e.g. flange height and thickness) and the loss of cross-sectional area across the profile.

Figure 5(a) presents the initial wheel profile (before testing at Position A) and shows the worn profiles on both restored and R9 grade wheels at the end of experiment (Test Cycle 16). It can be seen that a greater wear was generated on the restored wheel particularly on flange face and tread regions. The differences in flange and tread wear from the R9 grade wheel (Figure 5(b)) also demonstrated that while the (change in $S_d$) flange wear is approximately 3.5 times higher, (change in $S_h$) tread wear is 2.5 times larger than the R9 grade wheel. As expected from the vehicle dynamics simulations, the high number of tight curves in the Bakerloo line potentially produced damaging flange contacts which in turn gave rise to larger wear rate in this region. Nevertheless, the higher initial wear experienced at the beginning which can be seen from the slope of the lines decreased as the number of cycles increased in the experiment.

![Initial and worn wheel profiles](image-url)
3.3.2. Surface condition and damage

To identify any changes on the surface condition during the tests, photographs were taken following the test cycles. Figure 6 provides a summary of the key observations and illustrates the photos following the test cycles 5, 9 and 16. During the tests, a similar running bandwidth was generated on both wheels which provided confidence that they were subjected to similar trajectory. Compared to R9 grade wheel, the running surface was relatively smoother and shiny appearance with wear debris evident as a result of higher wear rate on the restored wheel. However, a band of RCF damage was also observed to have initiated in the flange root region of the restored wheel.

On the successive test cycles, a short-wavelength irregularity was observed on both wheels as can be seen from the photos provided for Test 9. This is often caused by a wheel out-of-roundness and/or higher track stiffness which could be a characteristic of the test rig. It may also be an indicative of potential sub-surface damage.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Cycle</th>
<th>Restored Wheel</th>
<th>R9 Grade Wheel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test Cycle 5</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Smooth and shiny appearance" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Smooth and shiny appearance" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Cycle 9</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Periodic short wavelength irregularities" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Periodic short wavelength irregularities" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Cycle 16</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Periodic short wavelength irregularities" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Periodic short wavelength irregularities" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Key surface observations after the test cycles 5, 9 and 16
Additionally, MRX wheel SCM™ inspection device was used to measure the depth of any defects in the wheel rim at the end of experiment (Test Cycle 16). This device uses magnetic flux leakage to measure crack depths up to 10 mm in the wheel rim. When a crack or artifact is present in the wheel, the flux lines cannot travel easily around the wheel and some leakage occurs in which the sensors measure the depth from changes in strength of flux lines⁹. Figure 7 illustrates the surface damage measurements with the observations in the relevant region on both wheels. The SCM can measure 80 mm of the tread from the rim side of the wheel. The maximum artifact depth was reported as 2.9 mm for the cracking band close to flange root of the R9 grade wheel. In respect to the restored wheel, while there was no significant cracking apparent on the surface of the wheel, the short-wavelength irregularities were actually indicative of a sub-surface damage and hence, a higher artifact depth was measured on the restored wheel. However, it should be noted that these measurements should be validated by sectioning of the tested wheels.
Figure 7: Surface cracking observations with MRX-SCM measurements at Test Cycle 16

Restored Wheel

R9 Grade Wheel

Maximum artifact depth=4.5 mm

RCF damage band close to field side

Maximum artifact depth=2.9 mm
4. Wear Modelling and Validation Methodology

Even though several measures have been implemented to optimise wheel reprofiling and associated costs on LUL, the use of prediction models of wheel wear can provide additional benefits. For instance, the models can support the optimisation of preventative turning intervals and the verification of depot scheduling. In addition, they can improve the understanding of damage in both surfaces of the interface and facilitate the design of more track friendly vehicles. To increase the reliability of the prediction models and to gain confidence in their application of real scenarios, the full-scale testing provides the opportunity to validate the models under the contact conditions representative to those experienced in the field. Under real-world operating conditions, environment, weather and third body layers (e.g. sand, lubricant, water, leaves, wear debris, etc) have an effect on the rate of wear but, there is no control over these changes and it is difficult to identify all these influential factors. Thus, full-scale laboratory tests are beneficial to control these variations and provide a more representative wear data. Some of the previous work done with full-scale testing can be found in previous works10-12.

While the main aim of this project was to assess the performance of the new restored wheel, the Vampire vehicle dynamics simulations which were used to define the test input parameters provided the related wheel-rail contact parameters that are used in the wear prediction modelling. These simulations were updated to include the number of test cycles known during the experiments, the contact parameter results of each test simulations were used in wear prediction model calculations.

In literature, there are three common prediction models that are used to estimate wheel wear: BRR wear function13,14, Tγ/A15 and Archard’s wear model16. As the Tγ/A wear model predictions were related with the wear rate in terms of weight loss (per unit nominal contact area in unit distance rolled - (µg/m/mm²)) and this parameter was not measured during testing, the BRR wear function and Archard’s wear model were considered and their predictions were compared with wear measurements on the R9 wheel, only.
4.1. BRR wear function

This model describes the changes in wear rates with respect to the wheel-rail contact energy parameters ‘\( T\gamma \) (N)’ also known as Wear Number\(^\text{13,17}\). It is calculated from the sum product of creepages and creep forces;

\[
T\gamma = T_x\gamma_x + T_y\gamma_y + M_z\omega_z
\]

where \( T_x, T_y \) and \( \gamma_x, \gamma_y \) are the tangential creep forces and the corresponding creepages in the longitudinal and lateral direction respectively, and \( M_z \) and \( \omega_z \) are the spin moment and the related spin creepage.

Figure 8(a) presents the model and the changes in wear rates as a result of increase in \( T\gamma \) levels. The function was mainly developed considering the full-scale test results for R8T (wheel) and BS11 (rail) steels under dry conditions.

![Figure 8: BRR wear function](image)

(a) Wear map for UIC 900 A rail against R7 wheel
(b) Contact pressure and sliding velocity graph

Figure 8: BRR wear function\(^\text{11}\) (a) Wear map for UIC 900 A rail against R7 wheel\(^\text{13}(b)\)
The global contact parameters are used showing the mean values of creepage and creep forces and the cumulative sum of $T\gamma$ over the whole contact patch area. Therefore, the Vampire contact calculations in the vehicle dynamics route simulations were directly used in the modelling.

### 4.2. Archard’s wear model

The Archard’s model predicts the wear volume $V$ (m$^3$) using the normal force $N$ (N), sliding distance $s$ (m) and the hardness of the softer material at the contact $H$ (N/mm$^2$) with a non-dimensional wear coefficient $k$;

$$V_{wear} = k \frac{N \cdot s}{H}$$

The wear coefficient $k$ is based on the relationship between sliding velocity $v$ (m/s) and contact pressure $P$ (MPa=N/mm$^2$). The variation of the $k$ coefficients can be found by using a wear map. The regimes in this map have been defined using twin-disc and pin-on-disc tests with different rail and wheel materials under mainly dry conditions. Figure 8(b) shows an example of a wear map and the (dimensionless) $k$ wear coefficients for 900 A rail against R7 wheel. Therefore, certain scaling was required to apply in full-scale experiments. Previously, the wear rates from small and full-scales tests were compared using $T\gamma$ ($T\gamma/A$) parameters and showed good correlations.

On the contrary to BRR wear function, this model uses the local contact parameters in which the wheel-rail contact is divided into a number of grids and the creep forces including the related $T\gamma$ are computed for each one individually. To provide this, the FASTSIM code was used in conjunction with the Vampire route simulations and the contact patch is divided into 50 x 50 grid elements. The sliding velocity $v$ and contact pressure $P$ calculated at each cell helped to find the related wear coefficients and radial wear depth $\Delta z$ (m) was calculated using the below formula:

$$\Delta z = k \frac{|s|P}{H}$$
where $|s|$ (m) is the sliding distance which is computed using the slip velocities at longitudinal and lateral directions: $v_x$ and $v_y$, respectively.

$$|s| = \sqrt{v_x^2 + v_y^2}$$

In this model, an initial wheel profile is provided and the model predicts the amount of material to be removed from surface and updates to generate a worn profile for a predefined travel distance.

4.3. Wear Modelling Predictions and Comparison with Test Measurements

4.3.1. BRR wear function results

Since the microstructure of the restored wheel was different from the previous tested materials, the comparisons were only made for R9 grade wheel measurements. However, it should be noted that the material properties in this R9 wheel also varied from the previous case used to validate the wear models, specifically R8T wheel steel. For instance, the R9 has slightly larger (minimum) hardness levels of 255 HB than the 241 HB in R8T material. But, the conditions were also dry and no additional layers such as lubricant and water were implemented. Thus, the corresponding wear coefficients from this (dry) wear function were accumulated using the (global) $T_y$ values generated at each contact during the simulations. Figure 9 demonstrates the comparisons between the predicted worn areas and loss of worn area found from measurements at each cycle. As it can be seen from the wheel transversal profile presented in the Figure 9 a), wear mostly occurred on the flange (root) compared to tread region over the wheel. The contacts produced over these regions were accumulated to find the total worn areas on each test cycle.

As there were no significant variations between the flange height and thickness measurements from different circumferential positions on the R9 wheel, the average worn areas were presented on Figure 9(b) and due to this, using different A, B and C profiles did not alter the predictions.
It can be seen that although the greater energy produced at the flange contacts resulted in larger wear rates and the lower Ty values generated at tread contacts led to smaller predictions, there were no major differences between the total results. The higher initial measured worn areas showed a gradual increase and better agreement with the predictions at the beginning. But, the wear reached steady-state wear regime (a plateau) around Test 9. As the increase in running distance gave rise to higher predictions, the differences became larger after reaching this steady-state wear regime which might be caused from the hardening of the material experienced in reality. Owing to this fact, it was previously advised that the wear testing should be continued until the values reach this plateau\textsuperscript{19}.

*Figure 9: Transversal wheel profile differences between Pre-test and Test Cycle 16 (a) and comparison of worn area predictions and measurements on the R9 grade wheel (b)*
4.3.2. Archard’s wear model results

In order to use the Archard’s wear model, one of the pre-Test profiles (A) was entered into the modelling and the (radial) wear depth $\Delta z$ was calculated and implemented to find the resulting updated worn profiles. This then was used as an input for the subsequent test cycles.

The top plot in Figure 10(a) displays the provided pre-Test profile at position A and the updated worn profile at Test Cycle 16 whilst, the lower plot (b) presents the comparison of predicted wear depth $\Delta z$ with the measured values across the wheel profile under Tests 8 and 16. Similar to BRR function predictions, the higher flange and lower tread contact creep forces resulted in over- and-underestimation of wear, respectively. The steady state wear regime again could not be described by this model as the differences became larger in the Test 16. In addition, while the measured wear generated wider contact bands particularly on the flange region, the predictions contacted narrower area and became limited in the flange root of the wheel.
Figure 10: Predicted updated worn profile at Test Cycle 16 (a) and comparison of $\Delta z$ wear depth predictions and measurements on the R9 grade wheel (b)

5. Conclusions

The challenges such as increased timetables and reductions in spending lead operators to seek more novel technologies and materials to reduce wheel-rail interface induced damage. Restoration of removed material in wheels by advanced welding methods has been recently investigated which could provide certain benefits to wheelset maintenance by extending the life of a wheelset.
Under the Innovate UK AURORA project, the submerged arcwelding technique was implemented to restore material (either removed by in-service wear or through turning to remove surface damage) on the LT5 wheel. To assess the performance of a new material, it is necessary to carry out testing under representative conditions which are experienced during the real railway operations. Due to changing track geometry and irregularities, the wheels move over the rails laterally and angle-of-attack also occurs to guide wheelsets when negotiating a curve. Therefore, to represent these variations, the performance of the restored wheel was evaluated using the HAROLD full-scale test rig and compared with the R9 grade wheel which was fitted alongside the LUL’s D-Stock bogie wheelset.

The wheelset underwent multiple accelerated wear cycles over a rolling rail, where its lateral position and yaw angle was dynamically adjusted to match lateral displacement and angles of attack that were acquired from vehicle dynamics simulations of a vehicle operating on the Bakerloo line. This was selected for its high number of tight radius curves which helped to maximise the severity of both flange and tread contacts.

Following each test cycle, wheel profile and running surface observations were conducted. Based on the analysis of these outputs, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- Higher levels of flange wear were observed on the restored wheel, decreasing the flange thickness of the wheel compared to the R9 grade steel.
- As expected, tread wear was not as severe as flange wear with lesser difference between the two wheels.
- Similar running bands were generated on both wheels, with the restored wheel having a smoother / shiner appearance than the standard wheel.
- Increased levels of wear debris observed on the surface of the restored wheel. This may potentially generate abrasive wear and have contributed to the higher wear rates.
- Short-wavelength irregularities were noticed following the Test Cycle 9 on both of the wheels.
• RCF damage was generated on the field side of the R9 grade wheel and the depth of the damage was measured as 2.9 mm using MRX Surface Crack Measurement device at the end of Test Cycle 16. Although a maximum damage depth of 4.5 mm into the wheel rim was reported, no significant damage was visible on the surface of the restored wheel.

Nonetheless, it should be noted that in order to accelerate the wear generation during the testing programme, special measures were taken such as increasing the maximum operating speeds and implementing the crush loading condition only. In addition, the number and the severity of flange contacts were also raised due to no check rail contact and while there are both track-side and on-board (stick) lubricators were used on the Bakerloo line, the testing was conducted under dry conditions. All these factors had an impact on the increased wear observed on the restored wheel. Therefore, it may be expected that the observed high initial wear rate would be lower in practice and the restored wheel would reach wear limits at a longer period of time.

Future research will continue to investigate these novel technologies in cladding and to test these newly restored wheels on the HAROLD full-scale test rig.

Furthermore, the availability of the vehicle dynamics simulations results provided an opportunity to conduct wheel wear predictions. The total predicted worn areas and depths were computed using the widely applied BRR wear function and Archard’s wear models and the results were compared with the measured wear for the R9 grade wheel.

• Both models provided reasonable predictions and the differences between the measurements and predicted levels of wear were relatively small during the initial test cycles.
• However, while the high energy (creep forces) produced at the flange contact resulted in greater levels of predicted wear, the small \( \gamma_T \) values at tread contacts produced lower wear predictions than the measurements in both of the models.
• The steady state wear regime which was experienced after Test 9 due to work hardening had an also effect on these differences between the measurements and predictions.
Therefore, while the accurate wear rate predictions can support to plan preventative turning intervals and to verify depot scheduling, additional work is required such as prediction of creep forces should be more accurate using vehicle dynamics simulations and wear coefficients in the modelling should be calibrated particularly to use for R9 steel grade. It should be also noted that while the dry conditions were considered in both model development and testing, third body layers (e.g.; sand, lubricant, water) have an influence on the wear rates. Hence, in order to apply wear modelling in reality, all these factors should be taken into account.
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