

Signals and Noise: Communicating achievement through alternative credentials

‘Signals and noise’ represents an initial conversation with Martin Bean, facilitated by Sheryl Grant and Rupert Ward, and was a way to reflect back, and look forward, on the development of alternative credentials. In particular, the paper focuses on alternative credentials in North America, Europe and Australasia. The conversation provided clarity on the ‘signals and noise’ that represents our positioning of this paper on badges and micro-credentials. Learning in life was previously aligned to societies defined stages in our development and as a consequence, for most, predictable. For example, Piaget (1964) broadly define our learning in early childhood (0-7) as being represented by play, followed by further learning operational phases (7-24). Our formal learning typically continued into teenage and early adult years. The learning bridged us into the workplace (24 to 65), and finally we retired. A minority of learners gained an undergraduate degree. That was the end of an education process, for most in society, and this provide a unique opportunity to demonstrate credentials for graduate employment. Undergraduate degrees, and associate’s degrees, remain important ‘rites of passage’. The challenge is when people are increasingly facing many changes in their careers paths and this is compounded when technical skills typically have a shelf life of two to five years. It is clear that learning for life has gone from ‘nice to have to must have’. However, our core educational systems are designed for ‘blocks of learning’. We have a disconnect, in part, between the labour market supply and demand, which reinforces inequity in our society. People typically progress through their networks, and associated alumni organisations, not through merit and achievement.

Inequity and the democratisation of education has always been central to addressing these issues. For example, Microsoft has consistently focused on providing affordable, high-quality education at scale through the utilization of technology. Such an approach is deeply rooted in the Open University and that led to the development of FutureLearn. An initiative aimed at extending the value of education from their approximately 300,000 students (Open University, 2010) to millions of students worldwide (FutureLearn, 2013). RMIT in Australia is also aligned to this approach in the positioning as a dual-sector university with a focus on education for all. Such universities seek diverse avenues to create

opportunities for its students. Reflecting on research from the World Economic Forum (WEF, 2020), the OECD (OECD, 2019), LinkedIn (see, e.g., LinkedIn, 2019; Roslansky, 2021), Education Design Lab (Education Design Lab, 2014; Education Design Lab, 2019), America Succeeds (2018) there has been a significant shift towards skills-based hiring as highlighted by organisations such as SHRM (Arnold, 2018), in US university reports (Gallagher, 2018; Fain, 2018), UK government (DMCS, 2019) and think-tanks such as NESTA (NESTA, 2017). The T-shaped graduate (Gardner and Estry, 2017; MacCraith, 2016) is aligned to the needs and purpose of global labour markets. The T-shaped graduate describes people who can solve problems, lead, collaborate, demonstrate empathy, be innovative and work across different cultural contexts. In order to be able to confer digital badges for these types of skills there is a need to partner with a digital badging platform, a granular based approach toward curriculum design, and rapid deployment of customisable learning pathways. To understand how many leading universities are pioneering in education for all we need to understand the journey of alternative credentials up to this point.

A brief history of alternative credentials

Since the 1990s there has been a link between alternative credentials and labour market signals and economic prosperity for the individual (see, e.g., Kyle, 2017; Green, 1999; Quan *et al.*, 2007; Xu and Trimble, 2016; Castano-Munoz and Rodriguez, 2021). For example, in the very early stages of I.T. certifications, Novell, which at the time dominated the computer networking market, realised that they could not sell their technologies around the world unless they had people that knew how to use them. More importantly, there was no proxy for employers for the skills they required. There was no unified approach for an employer to identify in the labour market whether somebody was capable of delivering the services to support the technology. Universities and colleges were not teaching the skills that were aligned to the labour market. In comparison Novell did something considered ‘ground-breaking for the time’, which was to launch alternative credentials based on quality learning content and rigorous assessments. They also trained people, built training channels, and credentialed the instructors (Wellington, 1999).

A decade later, in 2010, the Mozilla Foundation and HASTAC, with funding from the MacArthur Foundation, launched the open digital badging movement, a social innovation that focused on a webified system of issuing, sharing, and displaying alternative credentials aligned to open technical data standards. Mozilla developed the initial open technical data standards and prototyped early iterations of the open badges infrastructure, whilst HASTAC administered the Badges for Lifelong Learning initiative. A ‘grant-making’ effort that awarded funds to the world’s first digital badging programs (Grant, 2014). The initiative created an international movement that sparked cooperation across efforts often siloed from each others’ developments. For example, this included competency-based learning, personalised learning, credit for prior learning, open education resources, authentic assessment, massively open online courses (MOOCs), learning analytics, and eventually skills-based hiring. Alternative badged signals provide the labour market with information on people's skills and competencies. Microsoft’s educational strategy included alternative credentials, and digital badges, as an approach to disrupt the standard educational process to allow people to scaffold throughout their lifelong learning journeys. Using a series of recognizable, demonstrable, expressive, verifiable, discoverable signals between people, skills, and competencies and the labour market. This enabled, in part, a movement away from exclusively traditional markers such as college degrees, university degrees (see, e.g. Hufferd, 2022; Credential Engine, 2022).

Micro-credentials provide universities with a way to enable ‘*on ramps*’ into universities. In particular, by providing learners with the ability to amass achievements. It provides a similar approach to how advanced placement courses function in the United States (Klopfenstein and Thomas, 2009). The main difference is a design approach that is more focused on micro-courses. Often employers typically recognise graduates’ technical skills but struggle to identify other organisational and human capabilities (e.g., Daniel, 2021; Cole *et al.*, 2021). To address such concerns, it is necessary to develop a set of micro-credentials based partly or wholly on digital badges and to have these recognised on the transcript of the student. These markers of capability increase learners’ attractiveness in the labour market. Increasingly, therefore, learners are able to augment rigid university programmes that are difficult to

influence, by surrounding them with digital badges and micro-credentials.

Challenges and future directions

When people are searching for alternative credentials and badges it is critical to harmonise, where possible, with the traditional education systems but also ensure their personal learning needs are achieved. Reflecting back to the 1980's, the American Council on Education (ACE) in the United States (Ryu, 2013), has been helping organisations tag their programmes with understandable credit that colleges and universities could use for advanced standing. You need the definitions, and the mechanisms can be put in place, but the regulations and modes of funding also need to change to achieve the desired outcomes. Transferability remains a key issue driving change in this space. A sustainable way to support a learner to exchange between institutions and programmes is through the development of a national taxonomy. Bean and Dawkins' (2021) report explores the need for rich skill descriptors (RSDs) and skills taxonomies (see, e.g., Blakely and Branon, 2022; DeMark and Kozyrev, 2021). This can become a key enabler for industry-informed education programs and a recognised approach as a currency of exchange for skills in the labour market, as can the methodology of skills profiling, recently identified in the UK QAA Report (Ward et al., 2022). Alignment of alternative credentials and qualifications remains in its early stages of development (Pupinis & Kirdulytė, 2020; Trepulė *et al.*, 2021). Even with such developments traditional institutions still give end-point awards such as degrees. Trends indicate a sustained shift towards scaffolding of learning credentials and associated mechanisms, and it is a flawed assumption that a lifelong learner-earner sees it necessary to have traditional awards. For example, the initiatives of Google certification (see, e.g., Lorh, 2022; Pichai, 2021; Gevelber, 2022), and associated labour market outcomes of learners compared to a traditional college degree (Hess, 2020; The Economist Intelligence Unit, 2014; Akhtar, 2019) provide positive indicators. Firstly, however, we need to try to change learners' perceptions of educational value and the relevance of alternative credentials for employment and career development (Strada Education Group, 2019).

There is now also a shift beyond individual micro-credentials (see, e.g., McGreal and Olcott, 2022; Oliver, 2022; Brown, Mhichil, Beirne, and Mac Lochlainn, 2021) towards personalised learning pathways (Gibson *et al.*, 2016). Learners are scaffolding micro-credentials into pathways that have coherence and are understandable to educational institutions and employers (Chakroun & Keevy, 2018). Open standards and interoperability remain central to the development of learning pathways (American Workforce Policy Advisory Board, 2020; Digital Credentials Consortium, 2022; Caccio *et al.*, 2022). Learners are increasingly ingesting open badges from across the ecosystem into coherent pathways but there remain significant challenges. Digital wallets and learner employer records (LERs) continue to be rudimentary (Jobs for the Future, 2022; American Workforce Policy Advisory Board, 2020) in their ability to be able to connect and make sense of the micro-credentials, or alternative credentials, and experiences that people amass in their life. Another challenging area is the role of competency frameworks where interoperability and compatibility are limited, and a range of different approaches need to be accommodated within global standards (IEEE, 2022). Competency frameworks and job role requirements continue to struggle with educational qualifications alignment. For example, educational institutions continue to focus on capability development rather than the application of these capabilities as competencies. We need mechanisms to bridge this traditional divide between the capability-competency chasm for learning and earning (Ward *et al.*, 2021). There continues, therefore, to be a need for open rich skill descriptors (see, e.g., Blakely and Branon, 2022; DeMark and Kozyrev, 2021) and mechanisms that enable clarity on skills development such as skills profiling (Ward *et al.*, 2022). Some professions and professional bodies maintain competency frameworks, and skill descriptors, as an integral part of supporting professional standards. For example, the Digital Skills Organization in Australia (Schueler, 2021) actively works with industry to maintain and refresh the competency frameworks and skills for the ICT industry. The signals for the future are that the competency frameworks, represented by many professional bodies, provide complex and rigid approaches to identifying quality providers within professions. Professions, guilds and others have always seen their role as being able to describe the skills, capabilities and competencies for employers that identify professional standards and developments. Looking forward, we still need to see a continued trajectory for open, flexible and interoperable systems, and integral to

this are competency frameworks, and skill descriptors, that can intuitively adapt rather than being revised every three to five years.

The Bean and Dawkins (2021) report identifies the increasing need for industry to collaborate in a more closely aligned way with educational providers. Disruption is always challenging and scales through increasing need. For the lifelong learner micro-credentials, and digital badges, are showing unprecedented growth in areas of chronic skills gaps that include: IT and data, sales and marketing, operations and logistics, manufacturing and production, and customer-facing and front office (ManpowerGroup, 2022). Labour market shortages are the fertile ground for innovations in micro-credentials. Lifelong learners are increasingly seeking universities that are focused on ‘dialing in to industry’, and that ‘dial into labour market shortages’. This is also aligns to blockchain developments that provide a way to embed depth into the interface between lifelong learners and the labour market (see, e.g., Jirgensons and Kapenieks, 2018; World Bank, 2021). Reflecting back on Google Professional Certificates, offered through Coursera, they overshadowed all universities in the world in terms of total student count, with well over 70 million student registrations (Coursera, 2020). We can critique MOOCs, but they are all conferring micro-credentials and digital badges. They are all conferring industry understood credentials. It is the old system grading the new system, and scale being interpreted in old ways rather than scale being interpreted in new ways, the system is talking to itself rather than evolving to meet the changing environment.

The skills that employers are seeking are those that keep them learning fit (Ward, 2020). Micro-credentials provide a way to apply capabilities as competencies that lead to impact (Ward et al., 2021). In addition, the micro level approach is able to adapt, survive and thrive in a rapidly changing world (OECD, 2021). It is proposed that an undergraduate degree or certificate of any type should help a learner become learning fit. If you could redesign every undergraduate programme from the ground up, you would immerse learners in understanding how to learn; embedding adaptive learning (Ward, 2020). PwC, one of the UK’s largest graduate employers, identifies one of the most valuable attributes of a graduate is the ability to ‘learn for life’. PwC has been gradually removing academic

qualification criteria from their selection process and have moved further away from qualifications since the pandemic (PWC, 2022). ‘Learning for life’ is how we should be preparing our young learners. For example, teaching learners to triangulate data across multiple data sources to arrive at their own version of reality is crucial for future learning. Learning fitness and triangulating data are crucial to designing undergraduate qualifications because they enable learning to remain agile and fit for life as you develop self-awareness, self-reflection and self-regulation (Ward, 2020). Learning increasingly needs to focus on load balancing that flows through primary school, middle school, high school, undergraduate, postgraduate and lifelong learner activities. The relevance and use of skills in the labour market is increasingly short (WEF, 2017), and reskilling and redeploying our skills to new contexts requires a breadth and depth of both subject-specific and transferable skills (Hammer *et al.*, 2021). The need to make sense of digital badges and micro-credentials inside traditionally regulated and governed systems is the most significant challenge that bridges adaptability with quality assurance. Australia has now embedded micro-credentials as part of their formal system, and funding them as part of the formal system. The national level framework in Australia is pioneering a micro-credentials path underpinned by adaptability and quality assurance. We can see the emergence of a new educational approach being adopted. For example, Google has Professional Certificates that provide learners with a modern take on what was being done at Novell in the 1980’s. Both reflect radical change in skills provision through major changes in the labour market.

We also need to reflect on the quality of micro-credentials. In doing so it is important not to focus on the digital badge or the micro-credential. The current focus is increasingly on the issuing organisation, or governing bodies, and associated quality assurance. When the brand recognition is recognised we can shift focus from micro-credentials to issuing digital badges. For example, open badges are underpinned by open data. This is becoming increasingly accepted and replacing, for some learners, static degree certificates that are a ‘low-resolution analogue signal’. Quality of micro-credentials, as discussed, is focused on the organisation and their underlying data in the digital badge. Future badging standards (1EdTech, 2022), skills profiling (Ward *et al.*, 2022) and rich skill descriptors (DeMark and Kozyrev, 2021) will increasingly provide more discoverable, understandable, rigour in

identifying the quality of micro-credentials. The next frontier in the development of micro-credentials moves us to innovations in continuous assessment that are challenging but naturally aligned to micro-credentials and associated digital badges.

Concluding Comments

The future of micro-credentials needs increased investment in internationally recognised strategic frameworks, taxonomies, and quality assurance standards. Such developments, due to the complexity of increasing micro signals between individuals and institution, and due to the rapid adoption of micro-credentials, are being interpreted across institutions in a variety of ways. Institutions need to reflect on strategic intent in building micro-credentials initiatives. Internationally recognised strategic intent may include: improving the graduate outcomes of students, addressing the needs of industry and lifelong learners, professionally developing in the labour market, creating mechanism to increase human skills development in programmes and broader curriculum reform, widening participation for underserved communities, and creating new income streams for adjacent markets. Even though we can recognise many drivers, a future priority for educational institutions is improving employment outcomes. There is an unprecedented international priority for lifelong learning and for extending each learner-earner. The risk mitigation strategy for institutions is to be very clear about what your strategic intent is for micro-credentials, and how to enable a transition to a granular based approach towards curriculum design that ensures adaptable and future ready learners.

To communicate achievement through alternative credentials remains challenging and hence the need for reflections on the ‘signals and noise’. Alternative credentials require integration with existing institutional standards that embeds both micro- and macro- credentials as a way to supporting lifelong learning. Such an integrated approach is challenging but this provides clear ‘signals’ and pathways, and reduces the ‘noise’ for learners. Micro-credentials need to maximise value for those learners with the micro-credentials and as a consequence needs an intuitive design towards labour market dynamics. Micro-credentials need to be underpinned by a granular based approach towards curriculum design that is focus on adding value at ‘every step of the learning journey’. This is beyond

advanced placement courses in the US that focus on credit that leads to a degree. For example, online workforce programmes, underpinned by micro-credentials, are increasingly focused on enabling immediate value added aligned to the labour market. The national qualifications framework in New Zealand (Tertiary Education Commission, 2019) and Australia (Australian Government DoE, 2020) provides clear ‘signals’ for the future of an integrated approach to micro-credentials and that moves beyond the ‘noise’ for lifelong learners. We are globally moving towards a micro-credentials ‘playbook’ where, at the end of each chapter, there is a checklist and questions that need to be asked are answered. This paper is another step in identifying some clear ‘signals’ and looking beyond the ‘noise’ to support lifelong learners on their journeys.

References

- EdTech (2022) Open Badges Specification Candidate Final Spec Version 3.0. Available at: https://1edtech.github.io/openbadges-specification/ob_v3p0.html (accessed 11 December 2022)
- Akhtar, A., (2019, April 11), “*Apple, Google, and Netflix don’t require employees to have 4-year degrees, and this could soon become an industry norm*”, Business Insider Nederland. Available at: <https://www.businessinsider.nl/top-companies-are-hiring-more-candidates-without-a-4-year-degree-2019-4?international=true&r=US> (accessed 9 December 2022).
- Albert, K., (2017), “*The certification earnings premium: An examination of young workers.*” Social Science Research, 63: 138–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2016.09.022>.
- American Workforce Policy Advisory Board. (2019), “*Learning and employment records progress and the path forward*”, pp. 1-25. Available at: <https://www.commerce.gov/sites/default/files/2020-09/LERwhitepaper09222020.pdf> (accessed 5 December 2022).
- America Succeeds, (2018), “*The age of agility: education pathways for the future of work*”, America Succeeds. Available at: <https://americasucceeds.org/portfolio/the-age-of-agility> (accessed 8 December 2022).
- Arnold, J., (2018), “*How to adopt skills-based hiring practices: why hiring managers should think twice about requiring a college degree*”, SHRM. Available at: <https://www.shrm.org/hr-today/news/hr-magazine/0318/pages/hiring-for-skills-not-pedigree.aspx> (accessed 8 December 2022).
- Australian Government Department of Education, (2020), “*Australian qualifications framework*”, Canberra, NSW. Available at: <https://www.aqf.edu.au/> (accessed 10 December 2022)
- Baker, F. (2022), “*Assessment of mechanical engineering skills: a synthesis of industry and academic graduate level curriculum requirements*”, Theses and Dissertations. 5441. Available at: <https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/td/5441> (accessed 9 December 2022).
- Bean, M., & Dawkins, P. (2021), “*Review of university-industry collaboration in teaching and learning*”, Australia’s Department of Education, Skills and Employment. Available at: <https://www.education.gov.au/higher-education-reviews-and-consultations/resources/universityindustry-collaboration-teaching-and-learning-review> (accessed 5 December 2022).
- Blakeley, B., & Branon, R. (2022), “*Implementing a Digital Microcredential Strategy at the University of Washington Continuum College*”. In *New Models of Higher Education: Unbundled, Rebundled, Customised, and DIY* (pp. 296-312). IGI Global.
- Brown, M., Mhichil, M. N. C., Beirne, E., & Mac Lochlainn, C. (2021). The global micro-credential landscape: Charting a new credential ecology for lifelong learning. *Journal of Learning for Development*, 8(2), 228-254.
- Cacicio, S., Tinsley, B., Miller, A., & Luke Luna, C. (2022), “*Inclusive design principles for learning and employment records: Co-designing for equity*”, Digital Promise, pp. 1-38. Available at: <https://digitalpromise.dspacedirect.org/handle/20.500.12265/154> (accessed 5 December 2022).
- Castañó-Muñoz, J., & Rodrigues, M. (2021), “*Open to MOOCs? Evidence of their impact on labour market outcomes*”, *Computers & Education*, 173, 104289.

Coade, M. (2022), “*Government’s \$18.5 million microcredential pilot aims to inject workers into sectors suffering from talent shortages*”, Smart Company. Available at: <https://www.smartcompany.com.au/people-human-resources/training-development/microcredential-pilot-talent-shortages-skills/> (accessed 5 December 2022).

Chakroun, B. and Keevy, J. (2018) *Digital credentialing: implications for the recognition of learning across borders*.

Christensen, C., Raynor, M. E., & McDonald, R. (2013), “*Disruptive innovation*”, Brighton, MA, USA: Harvard Business Review.

Cole, L., Short, S., Cowart, C., & Muller, S. (2021), “*The high demand for durable skills*”. *Denver: America Succeeds*”, pp. 1-36. Available at: <https://americasucceeds.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/AmericaSucceeds-DurableSkills-NationalFactSheet-2021.pdf> (accessed 5 December 2022).

Coursera, (2020), “*Coursera impact report: Serving the world through learning*”. Available at: <https://about.coursera.org/press/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Coursera-Impact-Report-2020.pdf> (accessed 9 December 2022).

Credential Engine, (2022), “*Counting U.S. postsecondary and secondary credentials*”, *Center for Regional Economic Competitiveness (CREC)*, Arlington, VA, pp. 2-75. Available at: http://credentialengine.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/CountingCredentials_2022.pdf (accessed 9 December 2022).

Daniel, M. (2021, July 30), “*Employer upskilling programs need to consider both durable and perishable skills*”, Guild Education. Available at: <https://blog.guildeeducation.com/employer-upskillingprograms-durable-perishable-skills/> (accessed 5 December 2022).

DCMS (2019), “*No longer optional: Employer demand for digital skills. Department for Culture, Media and Sport*”. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/807830/No_Longer_Optional_Employer_Demand_for_Digital_Skills.pdf (accessed 8 December 2022).

DeMark, S., & Kozyrev, J. (2021), “*Enabling pathways to opportunity through a skills-based architecture*”, *The Journal of Competency-Based Education*, 6(1), e1241.

Digital Credentials Consortium. (2022), “*Credentials to employment: The Last Mile*”, pp. 1-44. Available at <https://digitalcredentials.mit.edu/docs/Credentials-to-Employment-The-Last-Mile.pdf> (accessed 5 December 2022).

Education Design Lab, (2014), “*The ed tech revolution is about to become the learner revolution*”, Education Design Lab. Available at: <https://eddesignlab.org/resources/the-ed-tech-revolution-is-about-to-become-the-learner-revolution-2014/> (accessed 8 December 2022).

Education Design Lab, (2019), “*The learner revolution: how colleges can thrive in a new skills and competencies marketplace*”, Education Design Lab. Available at: <https://eddesignlab.org/resources/learnerrevolution/> (accessed 8 December 2022).

Education Design Lab, (n.d.), “*Employer validation: working with the T-profile*”. Available at: <https://eddesignlab.org/microcredentialing/t-profile/> (accessed 1 Dec 2022).

European Commission, (2020a), “*A European approach to micro-credentials*”. Available at: <https://education.ec.europa.eu/education-levels/higher-education/micro-credentials> (accessed 10 December 2022).

European Commission, (2020b), “*European skills agenda for sustainable competitiveness, social fairness and resilience*”, COM/2020/274 final. Available at: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX%3A52020DC0274>

European Commission, (2020c), “*Digital education action plan 2021-2027 - Resetting education and training for the digital age*”, COM/2020/624 final. Available at: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52020DC0624> (accessed 10 December 2022).

European Commission. (2020d), “*A European approach to micro-credentials. Output of the micro-credentials higher education consultation group: final report*”. Available at: <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/7a939850-6c18-11eb-aeb5-01aa75ed71a1/language-en/format-PDF/source-search> (accessed 10 December 2022).

European Commission, (2022), “*The Bologna Process and the European Higher Education Area. European Commission*”. Available at: <https://education.ec.europa.eu/education-levels/higher-education/inclusive-and-connected-higher-education/bologna-process#:~:text=Under%20the%20Bologna%20Process%2C%20European,a%20European%20Higher%20Education%20Area> (accessed 8 December 2022).

Fain, P., (2018), “*The degree rules, for now*”, InsideHE. Available at: <https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2018/12/14/survey-finds-increasing-interest-skills-based-hiring-online-credentials-and-prehire> (accessed 8 December 2022).

Flouch, A. & Tynan, B. (2022) Closer industry, university ties will connect workers to skill gaps. Financial Review. Available at: <https://www.afr.com/work-and-careers/education/closer-industry-university-ties-will-connect-workers-to-skill-gaps-20220822-p5bbt7> (accessed 11 December 2022)

FutureLearn, (2013), “*Interview with Martin Bean, Vice-Chancellor The Open University*”. Available at: <https://www.futurelearn.com/info/feature/interview-with-martin-bean-vice-chancellor-open-university> (accessed 1 Dec 2022).

Gallagher, S., (2018), “*Educational credentials come of age: A Survey on the Use and Value of Educational Credentials in Hiring*”, Northeastern University. Available at: https://cps.northeastern.edu/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Educational_Credentials_Come_of_Age_2018.pdf (accessed 8 December 2022).

Gardner, P. and Estry, D. (2017). “*A primer on the T-professional*”, Collegiate Employment Research Institute, pp. 1-36. Available at: https://ceri.msu.edu/_assets/pdfs/t-shaped-pdfs/Primer-on-the-T-professional.pdf (accessed 1 December 2022).

Gevelber, L., (2022), “*Preparing learners for growing industries with higher ed*”. Grow With Google. Available at: <https://blog.google/outreach-initiatives/grow-with-google/industry-specializations/> (accessed 1 December 2022).

Gibson, D., Coleman, K., & Irving, L., (2016), “*Learning journeys in higher education: Designing digital pathways badges for learning, motivation and assessment*”. In *Foundation of digital badges and micro-credentials*, pp. 115-138, Springer, Cham.

Gov.UK, (2022a), “*Lifelong loan entitlement*”. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/lifelong-loan-entitlement> (accessed 8 December 2022).

Gov.UK (2022b) Cyber laws updated to boost UK's resilience against online attacks. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/cyber-laws-updated-to-boost-uks-resilience-against-online-attacks> (accessed 11 December 2022)

Grant, S. (2014). "*What counts as learning. New Opportunities for Open Digital Badges*", Digital Media + Learning Research Hub Report Series on Connected Learning. Available at: https://clalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/WhatCountsAsLearning_Grant.pdf (accessed 1 December 2022).

Green, D. (1999). "*Educational institutions and industry-oriented certifications*". Delta Pi Epsilon Journal, 41(2), 63.

Hammer, M., Harris, M., Ramnane, K. and Blackwell, E. (2021) Ops 4.0—The Human Factor: A class size of 1 Can your organization offer every employee a unique learning experience? Available at: <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/operations/our-insights/operations-blog/ops-40-the-human-factor-a-class-size-of-1> (accessed 11 December 2022)

Hess A. (2020, July 13), "*Google announces 100,000 scholarships for online certificates in data analytics, project management and UX*", CNBC. Available at: <https://www.cnbc.com/2020/07/13/google-announces-certificates-in-data-project-management-and-ux.html> (accessed on 9 December 2022).

Hirudayaraj, M., Baker, R., Baker, F., & Eastman, M, (2021), "*Soft skills for entry-level engineers: what employers want*", Education Sciences, 11(10), 641.

Hufferd, A., (2022), "*Employers rethink need for college degrees in tight labour market Google, Delta Air Lines and IBM have reduced requirements for some positions*", The Wall Street Journal. Available at: <https://www.wsj.com/articles/employers-rethink-need-for-college-degrees-in-tight-labor-market-11669432133> (accessed 1 December 2022).

IEEE (2022) IEEE 1484.20.2-2022: IEEE Recommended Practice for Defining Competencies. IEEE. Available at: <https://standards.ieee.org/ieee/1484.20.2/10743/> (accessed 8 December 2022)

Jirgensons, M. and Kapenieks, J., 2018. Blockchain and the future of digital learning credential assessment and management. Journal of teacher education for sustainability, 20(1), pp.145-156.

Jobs for the Future. (2022), "*Building a skills-based talent marketplace verifiable credentials wallets for learning and employment*", pp. 1-47. Available at: <https://info.jff.org/hubfs/Digital%20Wallet%20Market%20Scan/Market-Scan-Digital-Wallet-040122-vF.pdf> (accessed 1 December 2022).

Klopfenstein, K., & Thomas, M. K., (2009), "*The link between advanced placement experience and early college success*", Southern Economic Journal, 75(3), 873-891.

Lakin, M. B., Seymour, D., Nellum, C. J., & Crandall, J. R., (2015), "*Credit for prior learning*". The American Council on Education, pp. 1-35. Available at: <http://plaportal.org/Assets/PLA-PORTAL/Credit-for-Prior-Learning-Charting-Institutional-Practice-for-Sustainability.pdf> (accessed 1 December 2022).

LinkedIn, (2019), "*2019 Workplace Learning Report*". Available at: <https://learning.linkedin.com/content/dam/me/business/en-us/amp/learning-solutions/images/workplace-learning-report-2019/pdf/workplace-learning-report-2019.pdf> (accessed 8 December 2022).

Lorenzo, G., (2020), “*Microcredentials at the University of Maine: IMS summit session explainer*”, Workforce Monitor. Available at: <https://wfmonitor.com/2022/03/31/part-iii-ims-summit-session-explainer-micro-credentials-at-umaine/> (accessed 10 December 2022).

Lorh, S., (2022), “*Google creates \$100 million fund for skills training program*”, New York Times. Available at: <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/02/17/business/google-training-program.html> (accessed 1 December 2022).

MacCraith, B., (2016), “*Why we need more T-shaped graduates: Young workers who combine knowledge with an ability to collaborate across different disciplines are in high demand*”, The Irish Times. Available at: <https://www.irishtimes.com/news/education/why-we-need-more-t-shaped-graduates-1.2584765> (accessed 11 December 2022).

ManpowerGroup (2022) ManpowerGroup Employment Outlook Survey. ManpowerGroup. Available at: <https://go.manpowergroup.com/meos> (accessed 8 December 2022).

McGreal, R. & Olcott, D. (2022) A strategic reset: micro-credentials for higher education leaders. Smart Learn. Environ. 9, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-022-00190-1>

Mississippi Economic Chamber, (2021), “*Jones College launches Online Workforce College virtual training*”. Available at: <https://msmec.com/jones-college-launches-online-workforce-college-virtual-training/> (accessed 10 December 2022).

Moseley, B., (2020), “*Bringing a higher resolution image to the pathways mapper: scaling micro-credentialing and badging at Bakersfield College*”. Available at: <https://www.bakersfieldcollege.edu/president/bringing-a-higher-resolution-image-to-the-pathways-mapper> (accessed 10 December 2022).

NESTA (2017) THE FUTURE OF SKILLS: EMPLOYMENT IN 2030. NESTA. Available at: https://media.nesta.org.uk/documents/the_future_of_skills_employment_in_2030_0.pdf (accessed 8 December 2022).

OECD (2019) OECD Future of Education and Skills 2030: OECD Learning Compass 2030 - A series of concept notes. Available at: https://www.oecd.org/education/2030-project/teaching-and-learning/learning/learning-compass-2030/OECD_Learning_Compass_2030_Concept_Note_Series.pdf (accessed 8 December 2022).

OECD (2021) OECD Skills Outlook 2021: Learning for Life, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/0ae365b4-en>.

Oliver, B. (2022) Towards a common definition of micro-credentials. UNESCO. Available at: <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000381668> (accessed 11 December 2022).

Orr, D., Pupinis, M. and Kirdulytė, G. (2020) Towards a European approach to micro-credentials: A study of practices and commonalities in offering micro-credentials in European higher education. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2766/p.7338>.

Piaget, J. (1964). *Cognitive Development in Children Development and Learning*. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2, 176-186.

Pichai, S., (2021), “*Career certificates and more ways we're helping job seekers*”. Grow With Google. Available at: <https://blog.google/outreach-initiatives/grow-with-google/career-certificates/> (accessed 5 December 2022).

PwC (2022) PwC removes 2:1 criteria for undergraduate and graduate roles to ensure it doesn't miss out on talent. Available at: <https://www.pwc.co.uk/press-room/press-releases/pwc-removes-2-1-criteria-for-undergraduate-and-graduate-roles-to.html> (accessed 11 December 2022)

QAA Scotland (2010) QAA Scotland/European Network project on recognition of prior learning. Quality Assurance Agency Scotland. Available at: https://www.qaa.ac.uk/docs/qaas/enhancement-and-development/collection-of-recognition-of-prior-learning-case-studies.pdf?sfvrsn=7047f581_18 (accessed 8 December 2022).

Quan, J., Dattero, R., & Galup, S., (2007), “*Information technology wages and the value of certifications: a Human capital perspective*”. Communications of the Association for Information Systems, 19, pp-pp. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1CAIS.01906>

Roslansky, R., (2021), “*You need a skills-based approach to hiring and developing talent*”, Harvard Business Review. Available at: <https://hbr.org/2021/06/you-need-a-skills-based-approach-to-hiring-and-developing-talent> (accessed 8 December 2022).

Ryu, M., (2013), “*Credit for prior learning from the student, campus, and industry perspectives*”, American Council on Education and the Center for Policy Research and Strategy, pp. 1-28. Available at: <https://www.acenet.edu/Documents/Credit-for-Prior-Learning-Issue-Brief.pdf> (accessed 1 December 2022).

Schueler, J., (2021), “*Digital pathways identification report*”, Consultancy report, National Centre for Vocational Education Research on behalf of Digital Skills Organisation, pp. 1-37. Available at: https://digitalskillsorg.com.au/assets/pdf/NCVER_Report.pdf?v1 (accessed 9 December 2022).

Strada Education Network, Gallup, Inc., and Lumina Foundation, (2019). “*Certified value: when do adults without degrees benefit from earning certificates and certifications?*” Available at: <http://hdl.voced.edu.au/10707/507211> (accessed 1 December 2022).

Tarique, I., & Schuler, R. S., (2010). “*Global talent management: literature review, integrative framework, and suggestions for further research*”. *Journal of world business*, 45(2), 122-133.

Tertiary Education Commission, (2019), “*Micro-credentials—Funding approval guidelines*”, Tertiary Education Commission, Wellington. Available at: <https://www.tec.govt.nz/funding/funding-and-performance/investment/plan-guidance/micro-credentials/> (accessed 10 December 2022).

The Economist Intelligence Unit, (2014), “*Closing the skills gap: Companies and colleges collaborating for change*”, Lumina Foundation. Available at https://www.luminafoundation.org/files/publications/Closing_the_skills_gap.pdf (accessed 9 December 2022).

The Open University, (2010), “*The Open University Annual Report, 2009-2010*”, p.28. Available at: <https://www.open.ac.uk/about/main/sites/www.open.ac.uk.about.main/files/files/ecms/web-content/about-annual-report-2010.pdf> (accessed 1 December 2022).

Trepulė, E., Volungevičienė, A., Teresevičienė, M., Daukšienė, E., Greenspon, R., Tamoliūnė, G., Šadauskas, M. and Vaitonytė, G. (2021) Guidelines for Open and Online Learning Assessment and Recognition with Reference to the National and European Qualification Framework Micro-Credentials as a Proposal. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Giedre-Tamoliune/publication/354319564_Guidelines_for_open_and_online_learning_assessment_and_recognition_with_reference_to_the_National_and_European_qualification_framework_micro-credentials_as_a_proposal_for_tuning_and_transparency/links/6133dd2f38818c2eaf81d80d/Guidelines-for-open-and-online-learning-assessment-and-recognition-with-reference-to-the-National-and-

European-qualification-framework-micro-credentials-as-a-proposal-for-tuning-and-transparency.pdf (accessed 11 December 2022).

University of New Hampshire, (2022), “New Hampshire celebrates early success of University’s micro-credential program, closing skills gap”, PRNewsire. Available at: https://www.prweb.com/releases/new_hampshire_celebrates_early_success_of_universitys_micro_credential_program_closing_skills_gap/prweb18480972.htm (accessed 10 December 2022).

Ward, R. (2020) *Personalised Learning for the Learning Person*, Bingley: Emerald Publishing.

Ward, R., Phillips, O., Bowers, D., Crick, T., Davenport, J.H., Hanna, P., Hayes, A., Irons, A. and Prickett, T. (2021) Towards a 21st century personalised learning skills taxonomy. In 2021 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON) (pp. 344-354). IEEE.

Ward, R., Shamim, T., Hull, B., Hayes, A., Davenport, J., Lengyel, D., Hutchison, L., Millard, L., Irons, A., Hogg, R., Miller, K., Prickett, T., Walters, J., Ali, R. & Hanna, P. (2022) QAA Collaborative Enhancement Project Report on badging and micro-credentialing within UK higher education through the use of skills profiles. Available at: <https://www.qaa.ac.uk/membership/collaborative-enhancement-projects/micro-credentials/exploring-assessment-workload-in-micro-credentials> (accessed 11 December 2022)

WEF (2017). *Accelerating workforce reskilling for the fourth industrial revolution: An agenda for leaders to shape the future of education, gender and work*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Economic Forum.

WEF (2020) “*The Future of Jobs Report 2020*”, World Economic Forum. Available at: https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Future_of_Jobs_2020.pdf (accessed 8 December 2022).

Wellington, S. J., (1999), “*Professional qualification or accredited degree?*”. IEE Seminar on Vocational Technology - Engineering for the Future.

World Bank, (2021), “*Blockchain for education: creating an open architecture for the learning economy*. *World Bank*”. Available at: <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/edutech/brief/blockchain-for-education-community> (accessed 8 December 2022)

Xu, D., & Trimble, M., (2016), “*What about certificates? Evidence on the labour market returns to non-degree community college awards in two states*”, *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 38(2), 272-292.